

Compiler Construction WS09/10

Exercise Sheet 3

Please hand in the solutions to the theoretical exercises until the beginning of the lecture next Wednesday 2009-11-11, 10:00. Please write the number of your tutorial group or the name of your tutor on the first sheet of your solution. Solutions submitted later will not be accepted.

Exercise 3.1: Item-PDAs Revisited (Points: 4+2)

Let the pushdown automaton $P = (\{a, b\}, \{q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3\}, \Delta, q_0, \{q_3\})$, where

 $\Delta = \{ (q_0, a, q_0q_1), (q_0, b, q_0q_2), (q_0, \#, q_3), (q_1, a, q_1q_1), (q_1, b, \epsilon), (q_2, a, \epsilon), (q_2, b, q_2q_2) \}$

and $\# \notin \Sigma$ symbolizes the end of the input word, be given.

Provide a context-free grammar that generates the language L accepted by P. If possible, provide also a regular expression for L. Otherwise provide sufficient arguments why this is not possible.

Exercise 3.2: Grammar Flow Analysis (Points: 3+6)

Let $G = (\{S', S, A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H, K, L\}, \{a, b, c, d, e\}, P, S')$ be a given grammar with the set of productions P defined as:

1. Remove all unreachable and all non-productive rules.

2. Compute the sets $FIRST_1(T)$ and $FOLLOW_1(T)$ for each nonterminal T of the reduced grammar.

You are to use the algorithms from the lecture and to provide for each subtask the corresponding system of equations.

Exercise 3.3: LL(k) (Points: 2+2+2+2)

A grammar is an LL(k)-grammar for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ if whenever there exist $u, x, y \in V_{T^*}$ with $k : x = k : y, Y \in V_N$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in (V_T \cup V_N)^*$ such that

then $\beta = \gamma$ A language L is an LL(k)-language if there exists an LL(k)-grammar that generates L.

- 1. Prove that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a grammar which is LL(k+1) but not LL(k).
- 2. Prove that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ an LL(k)-grammar is an LL(k+1)-grammar.
- 3. Investigate the relationship between LL(0)-languages and regular languages.
- 4. A grammar is left-recursive if it has a production of the form $A \to A\mu$. Show that a left-recursive grammar is not LL(k) for any k.